

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHEVRON CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

CITY OF IMPERIAL BEACH,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHEVRON CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

CITY OF IMPERIAL BEACH,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHEVRON CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHEVRON CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. [17-cv-04929-VC](#)

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO
CONFIRM STAY**

Re: Dkt. No. 267

Case No. [17-cv-04934-VC](#)

Re: Dkt. No. 243

Case No. [17-cv-04935-VC](#)

Re: Dkt. No. 245

Case No. [18-cv-00450-VC](#)

Re: Dkt. No. 155

CITY OF SANTA CRUZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHEVRON CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

CITY OF RICHMOND,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHEVRON CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. [18-cv-00458-VC](#)

Re: Dkt. No. 154

Case No. [18-cv-00732-VC](#)

Re: Dkt. No. 142

In response to the request to clarify the terms of the original stay, the defendants are informed that the stay was intended to apply until the mandate issues. In the event the Court of Appeals does not stay issuance of the mandate, the defendants may file in this Court a request for an additional stay of the remand order while the petition for certiorari is pending.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 20, 2020



VINCE CHHABRIA
United States District Judge